SUBJECT No. 19.

Crediting *Amarayi* revenue to respective village funds.

Mr. Ramappa, Srinivasapur Taluk.

Mr. Ramappa.—The proceeds of the *Amarayi* sale may be given over to the Village Fund.

Mr. Vema Reddi.—If the proceeds are given over to the Village Improvement Funds, it will be a sort of incentive to the ryots to take care of the trees.

Revenue Commissioner.—Out of *Amarayi*, Government get on the whole about Rs. 80,000 which works out at less than Rs. 5 for each village. No work can be done out of such a small amount. Instead of asking for this, why not avail yourselves of the allotment made by Government for Village Improvement Works, according to which a contribution equal to the amount raised by the people is given in each case?

Mr. Bhaskarappa.—The proceeds from *Amarayi* may be now inappreciable which is due to the neglected condition of the trees. If the ryots are interested in the proceeds, there is no doubt that it would gradually increase.

Dewan.—Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 20.

Enforcing the duties of village artisans and continuing service lands as *Inam*.

Mr. Hutchveere Gowda, Gubbi Taluk.

Mr. Hutchvire Gowda.—*Inams* were granted to village artisans for rendering certain services to the ryots. Now these artisans are not performing the service and the *Inams* in many cases have changed hands. It is very necessary that the persons who perform the service should enjoy the *Inams*.

Revenue Commissioner.—If there are any alienations of *Inam* lands, Government will resume them. The point for consideration is whether the services of village artisans are required in these times. In special cases where they are necessary and men available, the *Inams* may be continued and in other cases, especially where there have been alienations, the lands may be resumed and sold by public auction.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya.—There appears to be difference of opinion regarding the *Barabaluti* system. Every one is aware of the labour difficulty. This is all the more keen in rural parts. The village artisans are very necessary for the convenience of the ryots. As such, it is highly desirable that there should be village artisans for each and every village and suitable persons should be induced to take up the work by increasing their remuneration wherever necessary.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastry.—It is very necessary to retain village artisans. If some people go, there will be others to take their places. The Government grant

for Village Improvement may be increased by another Rs. 50,000 with a view to get proper persons.

Mr. Ananthaiya.—A Committee may be appointed to consider this question.

Mr. Krishna Sastry.—A Committee considered this question in 1917.

Revenue Commissioner.—There can be no difference of opinion at all as regards the utility of village artisans. Resumption of *Inams* will be resorted to only in cases where men are not available and people do not want their services.

Dewan.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 21.

Grant of large extent of lands given to individuals.

Messrs. C. Vasudeva Rao and T. B. Malle Gowda, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Mr. C. Vasudeva Rao—People are put to much inconvenience by the grant of large extents of land near villages for the formation of Large Landed Estates. The inconvenience experienced is so great that the small land holders who cannot compete with the owners of the Large Landed Estates in paying for labour will be obliged to sell their lands. It is therefore highly necessary that the maximum extent of land to be granted for the formation of these landed estates should not exceed 50 acres. It is learnt that Mr. Kent, Coffee Planter in Kadur District, has been given a large extent of land although there is said to be a specific order to the effect that this particular piece of land should not be given to any one. It is also learnt that 500 acres of land are likely to be given away to Messrs. Tata & Sons of Bombay under the Cauvery Reservoir.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao.—It is very necessary that large extents of land close to villages should not be given to a single person.

Mr. R. Gopalasami Iyer.—In connection with the Large Landed Estates Scheme, it is very necessary that a general principle should be followed. No distinction should be made whether the applicant is a local man or a foreigner, as they will not be able to carry away the lands with them.

Dewan.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 22.

Empowering Deputy Commissioners to dispose of *Darkhasts* for Large Landed Estates.

Mr. Sankarappa, Holalkere Taluk.

Mr. Shankarappa.—According to existing Rules, there is great delay in the disposal of *darkhasts* for Large Landed Estates which are pending in some cases for over 7 years. It is therefore necessary that Deputy Commissioners should be empowered to dispose of such *darkhasts*.